

Somerset West and Taunton Council Community Infrastructure Levy Infrastructure Projects Funding Application Form

1.1 Organisation / Company:	Somerset West and Taunton Council
1.2 Address:	The Deane House, Belvedere Rd, Taunton
1.3 Phone number:	07760 474406
1.4 Email:	Joe.wharton@somerset.gov.uk
1.5 Contact Name	Joe Wharton
2.1 Project Title:	Coal Orchard public realm
2.2 Location of Project:	Taunton

2.3 Description of the Project Proposal:

This request is for a contribution to the cost of the high quality public realm and riverside steps delivered as part of the Coal Orchard scheme.

The overall cost of the scheme has been subject to overspend due to the impact of the main contractor going into administration in Jan 2022.

2.4 Identify any Project Partners & their role within the bid:

- Homes England: Contributed £889k in grant funding
- MHCLG FHSF grant provision £1.25m
- Environment Agency

2.5 Indicate your organisations current	Fully committed	Х
commitment to the project:	Partly committed	
	Uncommitted	

2.6 Please expand on your answer to 2.5 inclures olutions:	ıding an	y board/com	mittee oi	[·] Cal	bine	t
Full Council – 16th July 19: Original approval						
Full Council – 5 th July 2022: Additional funding ap	proved					
Executive – 16 March 2023: Transfer of CIL Town project for this purpose.	Centre	Regen budget	to Coal (Orch	ard	
3.1 Is the Project in the Council's Infrastructure D	elivery P	lan? ∟	Yes	,		
			No	X		
3.2 How will the proposal help address the deman		•				
The project has created a new high quality public mitigation in the town centre on the site of an end park. The public realm supports 40 one and two bunits, as well as creating and augmenting the sur Quarter, Brewhouse, and riverside footpath.	of life poed apar	ublic swimming tments, and ei	g pool an ght comr	d ca		
Whilst the works sit outside of the Infrastructure E approved capital delivery plan for SWT.	Delivery I	Plan, they are	part of th	е		
3.3 Please provide details of any evidence who community: The scheme has both an outline and detailed pla public consultation period. Prior to the planning a consultations on the Local Area Action Plan, as we coal Orchard Lavigne Lonsdale Coal Orchard development plans public consultations.	nning pe pplicatio vell as co	ermission, which n, the scheme onsultation on	ch include had part the sche	ed th t of a me i	e us ran tself	ige of
4.1 Aside from funding is the project ready to commence? Yes						
4.2 If the answer to 4.1 is No, please list briefly the m	ain const	raints:				
5.1 Please indicate the total cost of the project:	Approx	£15.5m for the	e total pro	oject		
75-100% X 50-74%						
1	/ -		i			

25-49%

Up to 25%

5.2 How much funding does the project currently

have?	None	
	Uncertain / unknown	

5.3 Please provide a detailed summary of the total CIL funding required, broken down into required funding phases. Please also use this space to explain how non-CIL funding is obtained:

	2019- 20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-34	Allocation Totals: £000's
FHSF			1,000	250		1,250
CIL - Taunton Town Centre Regeneration				420		420
Capital Receipts		7,699				7,699
Grant Homes England		870				870
Other (Grants, other EMRs, underspends)	1,771			80		1,851
Borrowing				3,910*	-	3,910
	Total				£16,000	

^{*}Borrowing costs spread across life of project,but shown here for completeness.

stc.) associated with the Project? If so please set out the details: No 5.5 is the project expected to receive any 8.106 funding? 5.6 Does the Project help secure the release of additional funding? 7.7 if Yes, please indicate what other priority projects would be delivered: Please see additional funding received above. Immediate	5.4 Is there a related revenue spend (i.e. day-to-		maintenance	
5.5 Is the project expected to receive any s.106 funding? 5.6 Does the Project help secure the release of additional funding? 5.7 If Yes, please indicate what other priority projects would be delivered: Please see additional funding received above. Immediate		et out the details:		
S.106 funding? 5.6 Does the Project help secure the release of additional funding? 5.7 If Yes, please indicate what other priority projects would be delivered: Please see additional funding received above. Immediate				
S.6 Does the Project help secure the release of additional funding? 7.7 If Yes, please indicate what other priority projects would be delivered: Please see additional funding received above. Immediate			No	
Please see additional funding received above. Immediate X Up to 5 years 5-10 years 10-15				
Up to 5 years 5-10 years 10-15 years		ts would be delivere	d:	
Up to 5 years 5-10 years 10-15 years				
5-10 years 10-15 years 10-15 years 10-15 years More than 15 years 6.2 Please provide further details on the programme for delivering the project: • The construction project has effectively completed, with the exception of defects. • 23 of the 40 flats have completed, therefore 40% of the capital receipts have yet to be collecte (circa £3m). 38 out of 40 7.1 Please show what constraints (if any) apply to your project: Physical and environmental impacts e.g flood risk, contamination, topography, biodiversity, noise etc Approvals and licences e.g planning permissions Yes Yes				Х
the Project: To-15 years More than 15 years	6.4 What is the current delivery timescale for			
### Total Syears				
6.2 Please provide further details on the programme for delivering the project: The construction project has effectively completed, with the exception of defects. 23 of the 40 flats have completed, therefore 40% of the capital receipts have yet to be collecte (circa £3m). 38 out of 40 7.1 Please show what constraints (if any) apply to your project: Physical and environmental impacts e.g flood risk, contamination, topography, biodiversity, noise etc Approvals and licences e.g planning permissions Ownership, acquisition or compulsory purchase order issues Partnership and governance issues Partnership and governance issues No Dependency on other projects going ahead 7.2 If the answer to any of the questions in 7.1 is Yes, please provide further details here, including the extent to which the issues concerned can be overcome by mitigation: Flood risk assessments were undertaken at the start of the project. The public realm has been specifically designed to act as a flood mitigation device to prevent the river from over topping. In addition, the topography of the hard surfacing has been designed to allow surface water to escape readily to the river. The Environment Agency have been an integral partner in the delivery of the scheme.				
The construction project has effectively completed, with the exception of defects. 23 of the 40 flats have completed, therefore 40% of the capital receipts have yet to be collecte (circa £3m). 38 out of 40 7.1 Please show what constraints (if any) apply to your project: Physical and environmental impacts e.g flood risk, contamination, topography, biodiversity, noise etc Approvals and licences e.g planning permissions Ownership, acquisition or compulsory purchase order issues Partnership and governance issues No Dependency on other projects going ahead No 7.2 If the answer to any of the questions in 7.1 is Yes, please provide further details here, including the extent to which the issues concerned can be overcome by mitigation: Flood risk assessments were undertaken at the start of the project. The public realm has been specifically designed to act as a flood mitigation device to prevent the river from over topping. In addition, the topography of the hard surfacing has been designed to allow surface water to escape readily to the river. The Environment Agency have been an integral partner in the delivery of the scheme.				
Physical and environmental impacts e.g flood risk, contamination, topography, biodiversity, noise etc Approvals and licences e.g planning permissions Ownership, acquisition or compulsory purchase order issues Partnership and governance issues No Dependency on other projects going ahead 7.2 If the answer to any of the questions in 7.1 is Yes, please provide further details here, including the extent to which the issues concerned can be overcome by mitigation: Flood risk assessments were undertaken at the start of the project. The public realm has been specifically designed to act as a flood mitigation device to prevent the river from over topping. In addition, the topography of the hard surfacing has been designed to allow surface water to escape readily to the river. The Environment Agency have been an integral partner in the delivery of the scheme.				
flood risk, contamination, topography, biodiversity, noise etc Approvals and licences e.g planning permissions Ownership, acquisition or compulsory purchase order issues Partnership and governance issues No Dependency on other projects going ahead 7.2 If the answer to any of the questions in 7.1 is Yes, please provide further details here, including the extent to which the issues concerned can be overcome by mitigation: Flood risk assessments were undertaken at the start of the project. The public realm has been specifically designed to act as a flood mitigation device to prevent the river from over topping. In addition, the topography of the hard surfacing has been designed to allow surface water to escape readily to the river. The Environment Agency have been an integral partner in the delivery of the scheme.	7.1 Please show what constraints (if any) apply to y	our project:		
Dependency on other projects going ahead 7.2 If the answer to any of the questions in 7.1 is Yes, please provide further details here, including the extent to which the issues concerned can be overcome by mitigation: Flood risk assessments were undertaken at the start of the project. The public realm has been specifically designed to act as a flood mitigation device to prevent the river from over topping. In addition, the topography of the hard surfacing has been designed to allow surface water to escape readily to the river. The Environment Agency have been an integral partner in the delivery of the scheme.	flood risk, contamination, topography,	Yes		
Partnership and governance issues Dependency on other projects going ahead No 7.2 If the answer to any of the questions in 7.1 is Yes, please provide further details here, including the extent to which the issues concerned can be overcome by mitigation: Flood risk assessments were undertaken at the start of the project. The public realm has been specifically designed to act as a flood mitigation device to prevent the river from over topping. In addition, the topography of the hard surfacing has been designed to allow surface water to escape readily to the river. The Environment Agency have been an integral partner in the delivery of the scheme.		Yes		
Dependency on other projects going ahead 7.2 If the answer to any of the questions in 7.1 is Yes, please provide further details here, including the extent to which the issues concerned can be overcome by mitigation: Flood risk assessments were undertaken at the start of the project. The public realm has been specifically designed to act as a flood mitigation device to prevent the river from over topping. In addition, the topography of the hard surfacing has been designed to allow surface water to escape readily to the river. The Environment Agency have been an integral partner in the delivery of the scheme.		Yes		
7.2 If the answer to any of the questions in 7.1 is Yes, please provide further details here, including the extent to which the issues concerned can be overcome by mitigation: Flood risk assessments were undertaken at the start of the project. The public realm has been specifically designed to act as a flood mitigation device to prevent the river from over topping. In addition, the topography of the hard surfacing has been designed to allow surface water to escape readily to the river. The Environment Agency have been an integral partner in the delivery of the scheme.	Partnership and governance issues		No	
the extent to which the issues concerned can be overcome by mitigation: Flood risk assessments were undertaken at the start of the project. The public realm has been specifically designed to act as a flood mitigation device to prevent the river from over topping. In addition, the topography of the hard surfacing has been designed to allow surface water to escape readily to the river. The Environment Agency have been an integral partner in the delivery of the scheme.	Dependency on other projects going ahead		No	
All Planning permissions have been obtained.	the extent to which the issues concerned can be over Flood risk assessments were undertaken at the realm has been specifically designed to act as prevent the river from over topping. In addition surfacing has been designed to allow surface. The Environment Agency have been an integrated scheme.	ercome by mitigation the start of the project to a flood mitigation do to, the topography of the water to escape read	n: . The public evice to the hard dily to the river.	including

Issues with title and ownership that have been raised throughout the project have been resolved.

Section 8: Governance

8.1 Please indicate what governance structures you have in place for this project:

Approval for spending the grant from SWT Executive Committee – 16th June 2021 and Full Council – 06 July 2021

Responsibility of Cllr Mike Rigby, Portfolio Holder for Planning and Transportation, Portfolio Holder for Asset Management and Economic Development

Executive approval for allocation of CIL budget to this scheme – 15/03/2023

Report Author: Joe Wharton, Assistant Director of Major and Special Projects

Section 9: Evidence		
9.1 Have you provided evidence to support your application?	Yes	
9.2 If the answer to 9.1 is Yes, please list the evidence included:		

Internal Office Use Only:

Approved by s151 Officer:	P Fitzgerald	YES NO X	Date: 16.03.23
Approved by Senior Responsible Officer: (HoF or Director)	C Hall	X NO	Date: 16.03.23
Approved by Planning Portfolio Holder:	Kanny	YES NO X	Date: 28.03.23
Circulated to Members for call in:	R Staddon	YES NO	Date: 28.03.23
Release of CIL funds approval process complete:		YES NO	Date: